The Function of θυμός in Hesiod and the Greek Lyric Poets

By Shirley Darcus Sullivan, Univ. of British Columbia (Canada)*)

Hesiod and the Greek lyric poets often mention $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ in their poems. The prominence of this psychic entity among them is not surprising since Homer had frequently spoken of $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ to which he ascribes a very wide range of activities.\(^1\)) The precise identity of $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ in Homer may continue to be a mystery,\(^2\)) but of its function as man's chief psychic entity there can be no question. In Homer person and $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ remain always distinct but their relationship changes: $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ serves as a location within a person, acts in subordination to, in harmony with, or in opposition to him. Hesiod and the lyric poets reveal a similarly varied relationship between person and $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ but they differ from Homer in some respects.\(^3\)) In particular, $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ emerges as an agent with greater

^{*)} I express my appreciation to the Canada Council for the Research Grant that allowed me to work on this article in Oxford.

¹⁾ Homer refers to $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ more than 700 times. See S. D. Sullivan, "How a Person Relates to $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ in Homer", forthcoming in *Indogermanische Forschungen* 85 (1980).

²⁾ For some interpretations of its identity see: E. Boisacq, Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque⁴ (Paris 1938) 356-357; H. Frisk, Griechisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch (Heidelberg 1960) vol. 1,693-694; P. Chantraine, Dictionnaire étymologique (Paris 1968) vol. 2,446. Cf. E. Bičkel, Homerischer Seelenglaube (Berlin 1926) 260ff.; J. Böhme, Die Seele und das Ich im homerischen Epos (Leipzig and Berlin 1929) 20-23; R. B. Onians, The Origins of European Thought² (Cambridge 1954) 47, 80; E. L. Harrison, "Notes on Homeric Psychology", Phoenix 14 (1960) 65; A. W. H. Adkins, From the Many to the One (Ithaca, N. Y. 1970) 16.

³) This article concentrates on the relationship of person and θυμός revealed by analysis of grammatical usage. The nature and function of θυμός in Hesiod and the lyric poets has received far less attention than it has in Homer. The following works are pertinent; E. Lobel, ΑΛΚΑΙΟΥ ΜΕΛΗ (Oxford 1927) xxxvii; D. J. Furley, "The Early History of the Concept of the Soul", BICS 3 (1956) 3ff., H. Fränkel, Dichtung und Philosophie des frühen Griechentums² (Munich 1962) 85ff., V. N. Jarcho, "Zum Menschenbild der nachhomerischen Dichtung", Philologus 122 (1968) 166–172; B. Snell, Tyrtaios und die Sprache des Epos (Göttingen 1969) Hypomnemata 22; Adkins (note 2) 16ff.; O. M. Saveljeva, "The Value of the Word

148

independence in the person; he must cope with the activity of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ and sometimes resist it.

The following account will treat all instances of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ found in Hesiod and the lyric poets, classifying them by usage and examining their function.⁴) In my discussion of Homer,⁵) the large number of instances of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ made it necessary for some categories to represent a number of occurrences; the present study will examine each occurrence separately. Despite the fragmentary nature of the evidence for these poets, analysis of the passages and some general conclusions appear feasible.⁶)

I. Θυμός as Location

 $\Theta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ acts as a location of various activities. Twice it is found with the preposition $\dot{\epsilon}\varkappa$ (Hes., fr. 58.4; Theog. 62). In some passages a person carries on the following activities $\dot{\epsilon}v$ $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$: $\beta \acute{a}\lambda \lambda \omega$ (Hes., W. & D. 297; Theog. 1050): $\varphi \varrho \acute{a}\zeta \omega$ (Theog. 99 = 1164c); $\varphi v \lambda \acute{a}\sigma \sigma \omega$ (Hes., W & D. 491). One can crush ($\pi \iota \acute{\epsilon}\zeta \omega$) anger $\dot{\epsilon}v$ $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ (Pind., Ol. 6.37); many evils come to be there ($\gamma \acute{\iota}\gamma v o \mu \alpha \iota$: Mim. 2.11); songs place charm there ($\tau \acute{\iota}\vartheta \eta \mu \iota$: Pind., Pyth. 3.64).⁷) Similarly $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}\varsigma$ functions as a location when it is found in the accusative after the prepositions: $\varkappa a\tau \acute{a}$ and $\pi \varrho \acute{o}\varsigma$.⁸)

 $\Theta v\mu \delta \varsigma$ is the specific location of the effect of some object on a person in two passages in which a double-dative construction

θυμός in Archaic Lyric Poetry (Non-choral)", VKF 6 (1976) 197-208 (in Russian); S. M. Darcus, "Thumos and Psyche in Heraclitus B 85", RSC 25 (1977) 354-359.

⁴⁾ With the exception of those mentioned in note 14.

⁵⁾ See note 1.

⁶⁾ Fragments of the different poets are numbered according to the following editions: Hesiodi Theogonia, Opera et Dies, Scutum, ed. F. Solmsen (Oxford 1970); Fragmenta Hesiodea, ed. R. Merkelbach and M. L. West (Oxford 1967); Anthologia Lyrica Graeca, ed. E. Diehl, fasc. 1 (Leipzig 1951), fasc. 3 (Leipzig 1952) = (D) [For poets not treated in West]; Iambi et Elegi Graeci, ed. M. L. West (Oxford 1971), 2 volumes = (W); Poetae Melici Graeci, ed. D. L. Page (Oxford 1962); Supplementum Lyricis Graecis, ed. D. L. Page (Oxford 1974) = (S); Poetarum Lesbiorum Fragmenta, ed. E. Lobel and D. L. Page (Oxford 1955) [For Sappho and Alcaeus]; Pindari Carmina cum Fragmentis, ed. B. Snell (Leipzig 1964), Parts I and II; Bacchylidis Carmina cum Fragmentis, post B. Snell ed. H. Maehler (Leipzig 1970). See also below note 14.

⁷⁾ I accept West's reading of $\vartheta \psi \mu \varphi$ for $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ in Hipponax 10.1.

⁸⁾ κατά: Hes., W. & D. 58; 358; fr. 204.42; fr. 209.1; fr. 278.1; fr. 198.5. πρός: Alc. 129.22; Anacr. 97 D (= W. eleg. 1).

appears. The $\kappa \varrho v \delta \varepsilon v \mu \delta v \tau \varepsilon v \mu a$ comes to Pelias (oi) $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ (Pind., Pyth.~4.73). Theognis wishes that a course of action would become dear to the gods $(\sigma \varrho v) \vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ (733).

Four other passages, where different uses of the dative appear, also suggest $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ as a location. In Hes., fr. 25.20 $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is locative, appearing with the verb $\mathring{a}\mathring{a}o\mu a\iota$. In Hes., W. & D. 366, it is possessive: $\pi \tilde{\eta} \mu a \ \vartheta v \mu \tilde{\phi}$. In Hes., W. & D. 499, $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\phi}$ is the indirect object: $\pi \varrho o \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \acute{e} \xi a \tau o \ \vartheta v \mu \tilde{\phi}$. So too in Theognis 1325: $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ receives $\mu \acute{e} \tau \varrho^{2} \ \tilde{\eta} \beta \eta \varsigma$.

Another construction suggests $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ as a location. When used as the accusative of part, $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is cited as the specific portion of a person affected. Anger comes to Zeus in his $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ (Hes., Theog. 554). Prometheus stings $(\delta \delta \varkappa v \omega)$ Zeus in his $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ (Hes., Theog. 567). Hesiod advises Perses not to let $\xi \varrho \iota \zeta$ hinder him in his $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ from work (W. & D. 28). Theognis asks not to be stirred up $(\delta \varrho i v \omega)$ in his $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ (1295). In each instance person and $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ are mentioned separately but within the person $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ seems in particular the location of his reactions.

II. Θυμός as Both Location and Possible Participant

 $\Theta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ acts not simply as a location of activity but also as a possible participant in that activity. Instances of the comitativeinstrumental dative suggest this: a person acts not only in but also with his $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$. This construction occurs with two verbs that express intellectual activity: γιγνώσκω (Theog. 1305) and ο ίδα (Theog. 1247). It appears as well in expression in which $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is involved in emotion. A person can have $dvl\eta$ or $\pi \dot{\epsilon} v \theta o \varsigma \theta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ (Hes., Theog. 612,98). Zeus grieves ($\dot{\alpha}\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega$) $\vartheta v\mu\tilde{\omega}$ (Hes., Theog. 868). Similarly one guards $\mathring{a}\lambda\gamma\epsilon\alpha\ \vartheta\nu\mu\tilde{\omega}$ (Hes., W. & D. 797) and steals away $\delta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\mu\alpha$ $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\omega}$ (Pind., Pyth. 4.96). So too, one can be angry $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\omega}$ (δδύσσομαι, σπέρχω: Hes., Theog. 617; Pind., Nem. 1.40). A person also performs a wide range of other activities in, by, or with $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$. In six passages the activity of $\dot{\epsilon}\vartheta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega$ takes place $\vartheta v\mu\tilde{\omega}$. Men desire to achieve $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha v \, d\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} v \, \theta v \mu \tilde{\rho}$ (Pind., Ol. 8.6). Likewise a man can try to come to the height of excellence $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ (Tyr. 12.44). Verbs meaning "destroy", "fight", "perform", "possess", "receive", "see", and "speak" also occur with the dative $\vartheta v \mu \tilde{\varphi}$. (10)

⁹⁾ Hes., Theog. 443; 446; fr. 204.54; Sapph. 1.18; 5.3; Pind., Is. 6.43.

 ¹⁰⁾ ἀναιρέω: Theog. 1125; μάχομαι: Tyr. 10.13; κάμνω: Pind., Ol. 2.8; ξέζω: Pind., Pyth. 9.96; κτάομαι: Theog. 199; δέχομαι: Hes., fr. 43 (a) 25; ὄσσομαι: Hes., Theog. 551; παράφημι: Pind., Nem. 5.31.

Shirley Darcus Sullivan

150

That $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \varsigma$ functions both as a location of activity and a participant in it is suggested by a second construction: the accusative of respect. In two cases this construction occurs with verbs expressing distress: $\mathring{a}\chi \varepsilon \mathring{\nu}\omega$ (Adesp. 924.7) and $\mathring{a}\chi \nu \nu \mu a\iota$ (Pind., Is. 8.5 a). In another passage, a person feels disgusted in respect to $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\varsigma$ ($\mathring{a}\mathring{o}\mathring{a}\omega$: Theog. 989). In contrast, a person can be delighted $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\nu$ ($\mathring{\nu}\mathring{e}\varrho \pi o \mu a\iota$: Pind., Pyth. 2.74). Theognis says that someone lying ($\varkappa \widetilde{\epsilon} \mu a\iota$) in great helplessness in respect to $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\varsigma$ would find few guardians as faithful friends (646). Pindar describes Melissos as similar ($\varepsilon \widecheck{\iota} \varkappa \omega$) in $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\varsigma$ to the daring of lions (Is. 4.46). Elsewhere he describes men who become $\pi \iota \nu \nu \nu \iota \acute{o}\iota$ $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\nu$ (Is. 8.25 a). In all these instances an activity is experienced specifically in respect to $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\varsigma$ which may, as with the comitative-instrumental dative, take part in it. $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o}\varsigma$ seems subordinate to the person but shares in his activity.

III. Θυμός as Affected from Without

Θυμός functions as an object affected by outside influences, other persons, or the gods. This aspect of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is revealed partly by passages where it appears with verbs in the passive voice. Hesiod twice says that $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is increased (ἀέξω: Theog. 641 and fr. 317). Bacchylides refers to a $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ that is not "bent back" (ἀνακάμπτω: 17.82). In addition it occurs when $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is the object of some verb, either in the genitive, dative, or accusative case. It is found in the genitive with the verb ἀποσφάλλω when Solon is deprived of both $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ and $\varphi c \delta v \epsilon \zeta$ (33.4). It occurs too in the dative when "spring sailing" fails to please (χαρίζομαι) $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ (Hes., W. & D. 683) or when $\delta \varrho \gamma \dot{\eta}$ does please it (Theog. 1224).

But this aspect of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ is found most often in instances of the accusative after a verb. First, different objects or influences can directly affect $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$. In one passage, Theognis says that it is $\mathring{a}\mu\eta\chi\alpha\imath'\eta$ that leads $\vartheta v\mu\delta\zeta$ astray into $\mathring{a}\mu\pi\lambda\alpha\imath'\eta$ (386–387); in another, he says that $\mathring{\eta}\beta\eta$ and $\imath\varepsilon\delta\imath\eta\zeta$ do this (629–630). In one passage Pindar says that the $\mathring{a}\sigma\imath\tilde{\omega}\imath$ $\mathring{a}\imath\delta$ in particular burdens $\vartheta v\mu\delta\zeta$ ($\beta a\varrho\acute{v}\imath\omega$: Pyth. 1.84). In another, he says that "the wave rolling near the base of the ship" especially throws $\vartheta v\mu\delta\zeta$ into confusion ($\delta ov\acute{\epsilon}\omega$: Nem. 6.57). Bacchylides, on the other hand, describes $\varkappa ov$

φόταται μέριμναι as having this effect on $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ (δονέω: 1.179). Finally, Bacchylides says that during a drinking-party, γλυκεῖ ἀνάγκα warms $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ ($\vartheta \acute{a} λ π ω$: fr. 20 B 7).

In other passages a person's $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ can be affected by the gods or other persons. A god can affect $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ with these verbs: $\delta \delta \mu v \omega$ (Sapph. 1.4); $\dot{\epsilon} v \tau \dot{\nu} \omega$ (Pind., Nem. 9.37); $ol\delta a$ (Theog. 375); $ol\delta a$ (Theog. 375); $ol\delta a$ (Hes., fr. 51.3); and $\pi \tau \delta \eta \mu \mu \iota$ (Alc. 283.4). A person influences another's $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ with these verbs: $a\dot{v}\dot{\xi}\dot{\alpha}v\omega$ (Pind., Nem. 3.58); $\dot{\alpha}\varphi a\iota - \varrho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ (Ibyc. S 222.6–7); $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ (Sim. 141.3 D); $\dot{\iota}a\dot{\iota}v\omega$ (Pind., Ol. 7.43); $\vartheta av\mu \dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$ (Pind., Pyth. 9.30); $\pi \epsilon \dot{\iota}\vartheta\omega$ (Hes., fr. 22.8; Prax. 748); and $\pi \epsilon \dot{\nu}\vartheta o\mu a\iota$ (Mim. 14.1). All these instances show $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ within a person open to the influence of outside agents.

IV. θυμός as Affected by a Person

Θυμός functions likewise as an object which the person himself can affect. One can please (χαρίζομαι) his θυμός (Bacch. fr. 20 B 20), put joy (χάρις) in it (Theog. 1321: ἐντίθημι) or simply ταῦτα there (Hes., W. & D. 27: ἐνικατατίθημι). Certain verbs suggest the control a person has over θυμός. In particular in many passages a person "has" (ἔχω)¹¹) θυμός which can display varying characteristics; e.g., it can be ἀκηδής, εὔφρων, κρατερόφρων, μάργος, σώφρων.¹²) In other passages θυμός is object of verbs having the root meaning of "to place": τίθημι (Hes., fr. 204.95; Arch. 23.10; 98.16); ἐντίθημι (Theog. 966); κατατίθημι (Theog. 983); παρατίθημι (Tyr. 12.18). Other verbs likewise illustrate the effect a person can have on θυμός: ποιέω (μέγαν καὶ ἄλκιμον: Tyr. 10.17); ἐπιτρέπω (Alc. 335.1); τρέπω (Hes., W. & D. 315; 646; Anacr. 375); εἴργω (Hes., W. & D. 335); τελέω (Theog. 1160).

Some verbs imply a specific influence someone can have upon his $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$. Certain suggest situations of joy or grief: $\beta a \varrho \beta \iota \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ (Pind., fr. 124d1); $\gamma \epsilon \lambda a \nu \delta \omega$ (Bacch. 5.80); $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \varphi \varrho a \iota \nu \omega$ (Stesich. S 148 i 9; Pind., Is. 7.2; Bacch. 3.83); $\iota a \iota \nu \omega$ (Theog. 1122); $\dot{a} \chi \epsilon \nu \omega$ (Hes., W. & D. 399).

Copyright (c) 2007 ProQuest LLC Copyright (c) Vandenhoek und Ruprecht 151

¹¹⁾ Epithets: Hes., Theog. 61; W. & D. 112; 170 (all three: ἀπηδής); W. & D. 147 (κρατερόφρων); W. & D. 340 (ἴλαος); Theog. 833 (ἀναιδής); Tyr. 5.5 (ταλασίφρων); Call. 1.1 (ἄλκιμος); Sol. 13.28 (ἀλιτρός); Alc. 129.10 (εὔνοος); Theog. 61 (ὁμόφρων); 754 (σώφρων); 765 (εὔφρων); 1301 (μάργος and ἀγήνωρ); Sim. 8.7 (κοῦφος); Bacch. fr. 11.2 (ἀπενθής). No epithet: Hes., W. & D. 13; 445; Theog. 239; Tyr. 13; Sem. 1.24; Theog. 384; 444 = 1162d; 748; 910; Bacch. 1.143.

 ¹²⁾ For the significance of -φρων epithets of θυμός see S. M. Darcus, "-phrōn Epithets of Thumos", Glotta 55 (1977) 178-182.

Shirley Darcus Sullivan

In other passages one can cherish $(\mathring{a}\mu\varphi\acute{\epsilon}\pi\omega)$: Pind., Nem. 7.10; 7.91); guide $(\varkappa\nu\beta\epsilon\varrho\nu\acute{a}\omega)$: Bacch. 17.23); satisfy $(\mathring{\delta}\pi\partial\nu\varrho\acute{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu\mu)$: Theog. 1158); or suspend $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{o}\varsigma$ $(\mathring{a}\nu\alpha\nu\acute{\epsilon}\mu\nu\eta\mu\alpha)$: Pind., Pae. 8.79). A person can also adorn $(\mathring{a}\nu\lambda\alpha\acute{\epsilon}\omega)$: Sem. 7.70), increase $(\mathring{a}v\acute{\epsilon}\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omega)$: Bacch. 10.45), shame $(\mathring{a}^{\dagger}\partial\chi\acute{\nu}\omega)$: Pind., Nem. 9.27), or soften $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{o}\varsigma$ $(\mu\alpha\lambda\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega)$: Adesp. Iamb. 35.17). Someone can also surrender $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{o}\varsigma$ to youth $(\mathring{\epsilon}\varkappa\acute{\delta}\acute{\delta}\omega\mu)$: Pind., Pyth. 4.295). The verb $\mathring{a}^{\dagger}\iota\acute{a}\acute{\zeta}\omega$ (Alc. 358.2) suggests some opposition between a person's choice of activity and that of $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{o}\varsigma$. Finally, at death, a person can breathe forth $(\mathring{a}\pi\partial\pi\nu\epsilon\acute{\epsilon}\omega)$: Tyr. 10.24) or lose $(\mathring{\delta}\lambda\lambda\nu\mu)$: Tyr. 12.23) $\vartheta\nu\mu\acute{o}\varsigma$.

V. Θυμός as an Active Agent

Θυμός functions also as an active agent within a person. In one passage its activity is described as less valuable than that of νόος: ψτινι μη θυμοῦ κρέσσων νόος (Theog. 631). Θυμός often exerts control over the person himself. In some passages the nominative of θυμός appears with verbs in the active voice. Some verbs reveal θυμός "ordering", "urging on", or "stirring up": ἀνώγω (Hes., Theog. 549; Theog. 999); ἱθύνω (Arch. S 478[a]3); κελεύω (Hes., Theog. 645; fr. 75.14); δρμάω (Pind., Ol. 3.25); and δτρύνω (Pind., Ol. 3.38). Θυμός also sends (ἀνίημι) someone to betroth a wife (Hes., fr. 200.7). It desires (ἰμέρρω) the completion of certain things (Sapph. 1.27). It endures to sing (τλάω: Theog. 826). Θυμός can suffer painfully (ἔχω ἀργαλέως) concerning love (Theog. 1091). Finally one passage may say that ϑυμός trusts love (πείϑω): Alc. 283.9).

The nominative of θυμός also appears with verbs in the middle voice. Θυμός desires: ἐέλδομαι (Hes., W. & D. 381) and λιλαίομαι (Hes., Theog. 665). It hopes: ἔλπομαι (Pap. Ox. 2316.2). It is envious: ἀγαίομαι (Hes., fr. 211.4). Along with νόος it flutters: πέτομαι (Theog. 1053). It is Heracles' wish that his son's θυμός follow his own (ἔπομαι: Pind., Is. 6.49).

In several passages in the lyric poets, a person addresses $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ in the vocative. This usage does not occur in Homer or Hesiod. It suggests that $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ acts independently within the person, perhaps to a greater degree than in either Homer or Hesiod. Archilochus gives advice to $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$, warning it to adapt its reaction to joy and grief in light of the $\varrho v \sigma \mu \delta \zeta$ that holds men (128.1). In a fragment Ibycus addresses $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ directly, apparently comparing its action to that of a $\tau a v v v \tau \varepsilon \varrho \sigma \zeta$ $\sigma c \varrho v \varrho v \zeta$ (317[b]). In the long poem ascribed to Theognis $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ occurs five times in the vocative. Once Theognis

152

153

urges it to turn to all people a $\pi o \iota \iota \iota \acute{\lambda} o \nu \mathring{\eta} \vartheta o \varsigma$ (213). In another passage he laments that he cannot provide all things pleasing to it (695). In a third passage he tells $\vartheta \nu \mu \acute{o} \varsigma$ to be full of youth ($\mathring{\eta} \beta \acute{a} \omega$: 877), in a fourth, to take courage ($\tau o \lambda \mu \acute{a} \omega$: 1029), and in a fifth, to rejoice ($\tau \acute{e} \rho \pi \omega$: 1070a).

Pindar addresses $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o} \varsigma$ four times. Once he tells $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o} \varsigma$ that according to the opportune time it ought to ἐρώτων δρέπεσθαι σὺν ἀλικία (fr. 123.1). Similarly in wishing for love to occur opportunely he tells $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o} \varsigma$ not to pursue $\pi \varrho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \acute{e} \varrho a v \mathring{e} \varrho v \mathring{e} \iota v$ (fr. 127.4). In another passage he urges $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o} \varsigma$ to hold its bow to the mark (Ol. 2.89). In a fourth passage Pindar asks $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o} \varsigma$ to which ἀλλα-δαπὰν ἄκραν it is changing his $\pi \lambda \acute{o} o v$ (Nem. 3.26).

All these passages in the vocative reveal $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ as an agent within the person, one involved in grief, joy, courage, and love. It is changeable entity whose $\bar{\eta}\vartheta o\zeta$ can alter to suit the people it encounters (Theog. 213). A person can fail to please $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ (Theog. 695). It can alter a person's attention (Pind., Nem. 3.26). In all cases person and $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ remain distinct with each capable of strongly influencing the other.

VI. Θυμός as Qualified Entity

Occasionally $\vartheta v \mu \delta_{\zeta}$ is an entity qualified by certain characteristics. Seven times it is found with a copulative verb. Hesiod describes a $\vartheta v \mu \delta_{\zeta}$ that is $\mu \acute{a}\varrho \gamma o_{\zeta}$ ($\pi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o \mu a\iota$: fr. 239.2). Archilochus says that $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ is for men ($\acute{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \acute{\iota}$ understood) as the day which Zeus brings (131.1). Sappho mentions a $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ that is $\psi \~v \chi \varrho o_{\zeta}$ ($\gamma \acute{\iota} \gamma v o \mu a\iota$: 42.1). Alcaeus says that there exists for him a $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ to sing ($\acute{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \acute{\iota}$ understood: 308.2). Theognis refers to a $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ that is not $\acute{\epsilon} v \ e \~v \varrho \varrho o \sigma \acute{v} v \eta$ (1256). Pindar speaks of a $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ being $\~d \tau o \lambda \mu o_{\zeta}$ ($\acute{\epsilon} \acute{\omega} v$: Nem. 11.32). Bacchylides mentions that a $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ e $\~v \mu e v \eta \acute{c}_{\zeta}$ is present ($\pi \acute{a} \varrho e \sigma \iota \iota$: fr. 21.3).13) These passages suggest $\vartheta v \mu \acute{o}_{\zeta}$ as the location of specific qualities which can affect the person's behaviour.14)

¹³⁾ Cf. also the epithets of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ after the verb $\xi \chi \omega$: see note 11.

¹⁴) The following fragments are not included in the above discussion because they are too fragmentary or the context is unclear: Hes., fr. 75.23; fr. 212(b) 2; Arch. 89.14; 89.24; Sapph., fr. 4.1; fr. 60.5; fr. 86.4; S 476.5; Alc. 34.3; fr. 58.19; 6.18; 41.14; Corinna 654 (a) col. iv. 37; Pind., fr. 60a 2 Pae. 13b 15; fr. 336.1; Pap. Ox. 2442 fr. 105.2; Bacch. 13.220; fr. 20 E 21; Adesp. Iamb. 14.5; Adesp. 924.1.

Shirley Darcus Sullivan

VII. Conclusions from the Evidence

The instances in which $\vartheta v\mu \delta_{\zeta}$ appears in Hesiod and the lyric poets suggest a varied relationship between a person and this psychic entity. First, $\vartheta v\mu \delta_{\zeta}$ functions as a location in which a person performs emotional, intellectual, and volitional activities. In such passages $\vartheta v\mu \delta_{\zeta}$ seems to be subordinate to the person, acting as the location where he performs these activities. It is also a location specifically affected by outside influences. The reaction of $\vartheta v\mu \delta_{\zeta}$ also affects the person's behaviour.

Secondly, $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ acts not merely as a location of a person's activity but also as a means and accompaniment which he uses or with which he acts. These passages suggest that $\vartheta v \mu \delta \varsigma$ could act in coöperation with a person.

Thirdly, $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ is an entity directly affected by outside influences, other people, or the person himself. In some passages helplessness, cares, or necessity can affect $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$. In others, gods can conquer, know, or stir up $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$. Other people also can increase, remove, delight, or persuade $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$. In yet other passages—and most frequently—a person exerts influence over his own $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$. In particular a person "has" a $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ displaying different characteristics. It is also an entity a person can place, turn, restrain, delight, guide, cherish, or increase. In all these passages $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ seems subordinate to outside forces or to the person himself. $\Theta \nu \mu \delta \zeta$ may be able to offer opposition to the person, requiring him to exercise control over it.

Finally, and most importantly, $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ functions as an independent agent within a person. Although this was a prominent role of $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ in Homer, 15) it seems enhanced in the lyric poets by the appearance of the vocative case. By now a person can directly address his $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ which seems capable of more independent activity and perhaps of greater opposition to the person himself. Archilochus warns $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$; Theognis urges it to experience various emotions; in one passage Pindar urges $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ to follow a course of action; in another he inquires where it is leading him. Although in Hesiod and the lyric poets $\vartheta v \mu \delta \zeta$ in the nominative case displays a less wide range of activity than in Homer (and here the fragmentary nature of the evidence may give a distorted impression), these instances of the vocative suggest that it has become even more than before an independent agent within the person, one which

154

¹⁵⁾ See Sullivan (note 1).

155

he must attend closely. This greater independence of $\vartheta v\mu \acute{o}_{\varsigma}$ may also explain why there are so many passages where a person exerts control over his own $\vartheta v\mu \acute{o}_{\varsigma}$: as it becomes more active, the person must attempt to control it more.¹⁶)

Although $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \varsigma$ does not appear in Hesiod and the lyric poets to be as prominent a psychic entity as it was in Homer (and once again the fragmentary evidence may be misleading), it nonetheless functions with a wide range of activities in a person. $\Theta \nu \mu \delta \varsigma$ can act independently, being capable of determining someone's behaviour and causing him on occasion to resist its activity. $\Theta \nu \mu \delta \varsigma$ can act in subordination to someone, in harmony with him, or in opposition to him. In the lyric poets, to an even greater degree than in Homer or Hesiod, person and $\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \varsigma$ emerge as distinct entities, each independent though bound in a close and varying relationship.

'eat' in Greek

By Eric P. Hamp, Chicago

1. The infinitive $\mathring{\epsilon}\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$ and the Greek future $\mathring{\epsilon}\delta\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ make perfect Indo-European equations. We must then ask why we find such presents as $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\vartheta\omega$ Il.+ and $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\vartheta\iota\omega$ Od.+. The answer is not far to seek if we consider all the relevant facts.

We now know that the Indo-European root 'eat' began with a laryngeal: * H_eed -. Chantraine Formation 315 called $\partial \lambda \phi \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ 'bread eater' and $\partial \mu \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma$ 'carnassier' "degré long". But we now may credit the Greek long vowel to the fusion with * H_e ; the following sigma results from *d before dental. A similar explanation is to be given for $\partial \epsilon l \pi \nu \eta \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$ (Formation 303), and for the same reason we may suppose that $\ddot{a}\varrho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \tau$ originally (or always?) had $\bar{\iota}$. We are now ready to reconstruct the present of * H_eed -, an athematic:

$$*H_{e}ed ext{-}mi > *ec{\epsilon}\delta\mu\iota > *ec{\epsilon}\delta\mu\iota = *ec{\epsilon}\sigma(\sigma)\iota$$

¹⁶⁾ Cf. the way $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu$ likewise emerges as a more independent agent in the lyric poets than in Homer or Hesiod. See S. M. Darcus, "A Person's Relation to $\varphi \varrho \dot{\eta} \nu$ in Homer, Hesiod, and the Greek Lyric Poets", Glotta 57 (1979) 159–173. See also Snell's discussion of $\vartheta \nu \mu \dot{\rho} \varsigma$ (note 3).